

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

DUSHON NICHALOS GREEN,

Case No. 2:14-cv-01388-APG-NJK

Petitioner,

V.

WARDEN GARRETT, et al.,

ORDER

Respondents.

8 On May 15, 2017, this case was administratively closed while Petitioner Dushon Nichalos
9 Green exhausted his unexhausted claims in state court. ECF No. 62. Green completed his state-
10 court proceedings and filed a new federal habeas petition.¹ The court construed the new federal
11 habeas petition as a request to reopen this habeas case and file an amended petition. ECF No. 68.
12 As instructed, the Clerk of Court reopened this case, filed the new federal habeas petition in this
13 case, and designated it as Green’s first amended petition. ECF No. 69.

I THEREFORE ORDER:

1. Respondents have until December 6, 2021, to answer or otherwise respond to the first amended petition for writ of habeas corpus (ECF No. 69), including any motion to dismiss.
 2. Petitioner will then have 60 days from the date of service of an answer to file a reply brief. However, the response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a motion filed instead of a pleading, will be governed by Local Rule 7-2(b).
 3. Any procedural defenses Respondents raise in this case must be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss may be subject to waiver. Respondents will not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If Respondents seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2), they must do so within the single motion to dismiss, not in the answer, and specifically direct their argument to the standard

¹ See *Green v. Garrett*, Case No. 3:21-cv-00078-MMD-CLB.

1 for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) as set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623–24
2 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, will be included
3 with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must
4 be raised by motion to dismiss.

- 5 4. In any answer filed on the merits, Respondents must specifically cite to and address the
6 applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding
7 each claim within the response as to that claim.
- 8 5. Respondents must file the state court exhibits relevant to their response to the petition, in
9 chronological order.
- 10 6. All state court records and exhibits must be filed in accordance with LR IA 10-3, LR IC
11 2-2, and LSR 3-3, and include a separate index identifying each exhibit by number or
12 letter. The index must be filed in CM/ECF’s document upload screen as the base
13 document to receive the base docket number (e.g., ECF No. 10). Each exhibit must
14 then be filed as “attachments” to the base document—the index—to receive a
15 sequenced sub-docket number (e.g., Exhibit A (ECF No. 10-1), Exhibit B (ECF No.
16 10-2), Exhibit C (ECF No. 10-3), and so forth). If the exhibits will span more than one
17 filing, the base document in each successive filing must be either a copy of the index or
18 volume cover page. See LR IC 2-2(a)(3)(A).
- 19 7. Notwithstanding LR IC 2-2(g), paper copies of any electronically filed exhibits—for this
20 case—*need not* be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney, unless later directed by
21 the court.

22 Dated: October 7, 2021

23 
24 ANDREW P. GORDON
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26
27
28